

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address & MMISSE INEICOF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, DC 2 2231 www.ospte.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTÓRNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09 832,116                        | 04 11 2001                | Manabu Takayama      | 862.C2202               | 1587            |
| 5514                              | 7590 07 29 2002           |                      |                         |                 |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO |                           |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| 30 ROCKEFI<br>NEW YORK            | ELLER PLAZA<br>. NY 10112 | VAM STEPHEN          |                         | EPHEN K         |
|                                   |                           |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                                   |                           |                      | 2878                    |                 |
|                                   |                           |                      | DATE MAILED: 07-29-2002 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | * C   |   |
|--|--|---|---|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |   |
| ••   | 09/832,116   | TAKAYAMA ET AL.   |   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |
|  | Stephen Yam  | 2878  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply   | pears on the cover shee  | et with the correspondence address  |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 136(a). In no event, however, make the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to becon | ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | ·  |   |   |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The  | nis action is non-final.   |   |   |
| Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims   |  |   |   |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application   | n.   |   |   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   | wn from consideration.   | •   |   |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |   |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |   |   |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |   |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |   |   |
| Application Papers   |  |   |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.  |   |   |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce  | pted or b) objected to   | by the Examiner.  |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th   |  | -   | B |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   | _ is: a)∏ approved b)[   | disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re   | ply to this Office action.   |   | K |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | kaminer.   |   |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |   |   |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | n priority under 35 U.S.   | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |   |   |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document   | s have been received.  |   |   |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document   | s have been received i   | n Application No  |   |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>  | ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a   | n)).  |   |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti  | ic priority under 35 U.S   | .C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro   |  |   |   |
| Attachment(s)  | enancy annual was and  | <b>00</b>   |   |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice  | iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |

Application/Control Number: 09/832,116 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-6, drawn to a mold for an optical scale, classified in class 249, subclass
     57.
  - II. Claims 7-9, drawn to the manufacturing of an optical scale, classified in class 264, subclass 1.1.
  - III. Claims 10-11, drawn to an optical encoder transmitting through an optical scale for detecting a speed, classified in class 356, subclass 616.
  - IV. Claims 12-16, drawn to an optical scale reflecting light off a light-emitting portion of a sensor, classified in class 250, subclass 231.13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the different inventions are different because one group only teaches a mold for an optical scale and the other group only teaches the characteristics of an optical scale. Group I describes the specifics of the mold with

Application/Control Number: 09/832,116

Art Unit: 2878

fixed and movable platens with two mold portions. Group II describes an optical scale with a shaft mount hole, which can be manufactured using a variety of methods and molds.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the different inventions are different because one group only teaches a mold for an optical scale and the other group only teaches an optical encoder using an optical scale constructed using the mold. Group I describes the specifics of the mold with fixed and movable platens with two mold portions. Group III describes an optical encoder emitting a light beam through a grating portion of an optical scale, which can use a plurality of different optical scales.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the different inventions are different because one group only teaches a mold for an optical scale and the other group only teaches an optical encoder using an optical scale constructed using the mold. Group I describes the specifics of the mold with fixed and movable platens with two mold portions. Group IV describes an optical scale reflecting a light beam off a reflecting portion and a shaft holding

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/832,116 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

portion for rotating the optical scale. The optical scale can be constructed using a variety of methods and molds.

- 5. Inventions I and III/IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different because Group I teaches a mold for constructing an optical scale, and Groups III and IV teach the specifics of an optical encoder and scale/sensor to emit and receive light.
- 6. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because an optical encoder can use a variety of optical scales to detect position or speed. The subcombination has separate utility such as a frequency modulator to pulse a light beam.
- 7. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different because one group teaches an optical scale with specific characteristics and the other group teaches an optical scale and a sensor with a light-emitting portion and a light-receiving portion. Group II also teaches the optical scale having a wavefront splitting function or a V-groove structure. Group IV also teaches the optical scale reflecting light from

Application/Control Number: 09/832,116 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

the light-emitting portion of the sensor towards the light-receiving portion, and a shaft for rotating the optical scale.

- 8. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different because one group teaches an optical encoder with an optical scale transmitting light through a grating portion and the other group teaches an optical scale reflecting light emitted from a sensor. Group III also teaches the optical encoder disposed between light radiation means and light receiving means. Group IV also teaches the sensor containing both light-emitting and light-receiving portions and emitting light to be reflected off the optical scale towards the light-receiving portion.
- 9. If Applicant chooses to elect Group II, the claims should be written in proper independent form. In Claim 7, it appears that Applicant intended to claim a manufacturing method for an optical scale. However, the claim does not properly claiming a manufacturing method, since it is unclear what "characterized by being manufactured" means. A proper manufacturing method claim requires steps in the manufacturing of the final product, and not just the apparatus used.
- 10. If Applicant chooses to elect Group III, the claims should be written in proper independent form.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,116

Art Unit: 2878

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 12. A telephone call was made to Justin Oliver on 6/17/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Yam whose telephone number is (703)306-3441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (703)308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7724 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

July 19, 2002

Lection Pyo

Kevin Pyo

Primary Examiner